

Almost sure convergence of the minimum bipartite matching functional in Euclidean space

J.H. Boutet de Monvel* and O.C. Martin†

*Center for Hearing and Communication Research, Karolinska Institutet, 17176 Stockholm, Sweden; †Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modèles Statistiques, Université de Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France;

To appear in *Combinatorica*

Abstract

Let $L_N = L_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_N; Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ be the minimum length of a bipartite matching between two sets of points in $\mathbf{R}^d$, where $X_1, \dots, X_N, \dots$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_N, \dots$ are random points independently and uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]^d$. We prove that for $d \geq 3$, $L_N/N^{1-1/d}$ converges with probability one to a constant $\beta_{MBM}(d) > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

1 Introduction and statement of the result.

Given two sets of N points $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$ and $Y = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_N\}$ in $\mathbf{R}^d$, a bipartite matching of X and Y is a perfect matching M on the set $X \cup Y$, such that each pair in M is made of one point of X and one point of Y . The length of such a matching is defined to be the sum of the euclidean lengths of the edges formed by its pairs. The (euclidean) minimum bipartite matching problem (MBMP) then asks one to find a bipartite matching of X and Y whose length is as small as possible. We shall denote by $L_{MBM}(X, Y)$ the length of a minimum bipartite matching of X and Y .

A related problem is the simple minimum matching problem (MMP), where one is asked to find a perfect matching of smallest euclidean length on a set $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \subset \mathbf{R}^d$. The subadditive methods inaugurated by Beardwood, Halton and Hammersley (BHH) [4] and further developed in [9, 10, 12], show that a strong limit theorem applies to the length $L_{MM}(X)$ of a simple minimum matching on X , when the points $X_1, \dots, X_N$ are random. The theorem states that for any dimension d , if $X_1, \dots, X_N, \dots$ is a sequence of points distributed independently and uniformly in a bounded region $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, then the ratio $L_{MM}(X_1, \dots, X_N)/N^{1-1/d}$ converges almost surely to $\text{Vol}(\Omega)^{1/d} \beta_{MM}(d)$, where $\text{Vol}(\Omega)$ denotes the Lebesgues measure of Ω and $\beta_{MM}(d) > 0$ is a universal constant depending only upon d .

The functional L_{MBM} does not satisfy this form of limit theorem in dimensions 1 and 2. For $d = 1$, the MBMP amounts to a sorting problem and it is not difficult to show that if X and Y both consist of N points independently and uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$, there are constants $0 < C_1 < C_2$ such that $C_1\sqrt{N} \leq L_{MBM}(X, Y) \leq C_2\sqrt{N}$ with probability $1 - o(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover in that case the variance of $L_{MBM}(X, Y)/\sqrt{N}$ does *not* converge to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. (L_{MBM} is not “self-averaging”, in the statistical physics’ terminology.) For $d = 2$ Ajtai et al. [1] proved a remarkable fact: if the sets X, Y are now distributed in $[0, 1]^2$, then for some constants C_1, C_2 independent of N , one has $C_1\sqrt{N \log N} \leq L_{MBM}(X, Y) \leq C_2\sqrt{N \log N}$ with probability $1 - o(1)$. Numerical simulations suggest that $L_{MBM}(X, Y)/\sqrt{N \log N}$ converges to a non-random constant as $N \rightarrow \infty$, however this has not yet been proved.

In this article, we show that for any $d \geq 3$ we recover a BHH theorem for the functional L_{MBM} .

Theorem 1.1 *Let $X_1, \dots, X_N, \dots$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_N, \dots$ be two sequences of random points independently and uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]^d$, where $d \geq 3$, and let $L_N = L_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_N; Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$. There exists a constant $\beta_{MBM}(d) > 0$ such that with probability one*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} L_N / N^{1-1/d} = \beta_{MBM}(d).$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1.

To begin, we remark that to prove this theorem it will suffice to establish that $L_N / N^{1-1/d}$ converges in mean value to a constant $\beta_{MBM}(d)$. This is a consequence of the following lemma [14]:

Lemma 2.1 *For any $t > 0$, one has*

$$P(|\frac{L_N}{N^{1-1/d}} - E(\frac{L_N}{N^{1-1/d}})| > t) \leq 2 \exp(-\frac{N^{1-2/d}t^2}{8d}).$$

This result follows from the application of Azuma’s inequality [3] and the martingale difference method to L_N , in a way by now standard in the probabilistic theory of combinatorial optimisation [13]. Given the lemma, the theorem follows easily from the convergence of $EL_N / N^{1-1/d}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, by applying the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

We have now to establish that for $d \geq 3$ the quantity $EL_N / N^{1-1/d}$ indeed converges to a constant $\beta_{MBM}(d) > 0$. To prove this we exploit the subadditivity properties of L_{MBM} , in the spirit of Steele’s theory of subadditive Euclidean functionals [12]. Let us divide the unit cube $[0, 1]^d$ into disjoint similar sub-cubes Q_k , $k = 1, \dots, m^d$ with edges of length $1/m$, and compare the value of $L_{MBM}(X, Y)$ to the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m^d} L_k, \tag{1}$$

where L_k is the value of the functional L_{MBM} for the set of points X_i and Y_i which belongs to Q_k . A difficulty arises as in general the Q_k 's do not contain the same number of points X_i and of points Y_i . (In fact the special properties of the MBMP in dimensions 1 and 2 originate from the fluctuations of the differences between these numbers around their mean value 0.) To give meaning to the sum (1) we need to generalize the functional L_{MBM} to matchings between two sets of different cardinalities. There are several ways to do this; we shall define $L_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2})$ by imposing that the minimum matching contains as few unmatched points as possible. That is if $N_1 > N_2$, we leave $N_1 - N_2$ points of X unmatched, whereas if $N_1 < N_2$ we leave $N_2 - N_1$ points of Y unmatched.

Although expression (1) now makes sense, it is still not possible to write a subadditivity inequality of the same form as the one studied in [12]. Indeed, such a form (which Steele calls "geometric subadditivity") implies an upper bound of the form $CN^{1-1/d}$ for the functional at hand [13], and it is easy to see that no such bound applies to $L_{MBM}(X, Y)$. We shall however see that a geometric subadditivity property holds *in the mean* for the functional L_{MBM} . Suppose that the points $X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2}$ belong to an arbitrary cube Q having edge length a , and divide Q into disjoint cubes Q_p , $p = 1, \dots, 2^d$ by splitting each edge in two halves. Construct in each Q_p an optimal matching in the sense just defined, between the $n_{1,p}$ points X_i and the $n_{2,p}$ points Y_i in Q_p , and denote its length by L_p . The points that are left unpaired are in number $|n_{1,p} - n_{2,p}|$ in each Q_p , so if L_0 denotes the length of an optimal matching for these points one has

$$\begin{aligned} L_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2}) &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{2^d} L_p + L_0 \\ &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{2^d} L_p + \frac{1}{2} a \sqrt{d} \sum_{p=1}^{2^d} |n_{1,p} - n_{2,p}|, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the last inequality is obtained by bounding L_0 in an obvious way.

We shall apply this to $Q = [0, 1]^d$. Let Q_{p_1} $p_1 = 1, \dots, 2^d$ be the cubes obtained in the above subdivision; let $Q_{p_1 p_2}$ be the cubes obtained by splitting in two halves the edges of each cube Q_{p_1} ; and so on. By repeating this operation K times, we get a subdivision with cubes $Q_{p_1 \dots p_K}$ whose edges are of length $1/2^K$. Let $n_{1, p_1 \dots p_K}$ and $n_{2, p_1 \dots p_K}$ be respectively the number of points X_i and Y_i in $Q_{p_1 \dots p_K}$. Apply (2) first to the $Q_{p_1 \dots p_{K-1}}$'s, then to the $Q_{p_1 \dots p_{K-2}}$'s, etc, keeping at each step only those points which are still unpaired. It is easy to convince oneself that the number of unpaired points in each $Q_{p_1 \dots p_{K-k}}$ just after step k is given by $|n_{1, p_1 \dots p_{K-k}} - n_{2, p_1 \dots p_{K-k}}|$. After step $k = K$ one obtains a matching between $X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2}$ where all the points but $|N_1 - N_2|$ are matched. One is thus led to the following inequality:

$$L_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2}) \leq \sum_{p_1 \dots p_K} L_{p_1 \dots p_K}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2^k} \sum_{p_1 \dots p_k} |n_{1,p_1 \dots p_k} - n_{2,p_1 \dots p_k}|. \quad (3)$$

We now proceed to derive a subadditivity property for the mean value of $L_{MBM}(X, Y)$. We first consider the case where $N_1 = \text{card}X$ and $N_2 = \text{card}Y$ are not fixed integers but are independent Poisson random variables with the same mean value N , the elements of X and Y being chosen independently and uniformly in $[0, 1]^d$. For a given k , the numbers $n_{1,p_1 \dots p_k}$ and $n_{2,p_1 \dots p_k}$ are then also independent Poisson random variables, with parameter $N/2^{kd}$. Let $M(N) = EL_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2})$. It is immediate by homogeneity that

$$EL_{p_1 \dots p_K} = 2^{-K} M(N/2^{Kd}). \quad (4)$$

Moreover from the well known properties of Poisson variables we have

$$E|n_{1,p_1 \dots p_k} - n_{2,p_1 \dots p_k}| \leq \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{N}{2^{kd}} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (5)$$

By taking mean values in (3) we obtain:

$$M(N) \leq 2^{K(d-1)} M(N/2^{Kd}) + \sqrt{2dN} \sum_{k=1}^K 2^{k(d/2-1)}. \quad (6)$$

This inequality has been obtained for a subdivision of $[0, 1]^d$ which consists in 2^{Kd} similar cubes. Suppose now that we start from the subdivision Σ in m^d similar cubes Q_k $k = 1, \dots, m^d$, where m is an arbitrary integer. One can then reproduce the previous construction in the following manner. Let $m = 2^K + r$ where $0 \leq r < 2^K$. Consider the cube $Q_0 = [0, 2^{K+1}/m]^d$ and form the natural subdivision Σ_0 of Q_0 by $2^{(K+1)d}$ cubes $Q_{p_0 \dots p_K}$ whose edges have length $1/m$. We can proceed with Q_0 and Σ_0 to a $K+1$ steps construction similar to the one which led to (3). The only differences are that Q_0 has edges of length $2^{K+1}/m$ rather than 1, and that some of the $Q_{p_0 \dots p_K}$'s, namely those which belong to Σ_0 but not to Σ , are empty. Nevertheless, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2}) - \sum_{p=1}^{m^d} L_p \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{\sqrt{d} 2^{K-k}}{m} \sum_{p_0 \dots p_k} |n_{1,p_0 \dots p_k} - n_{2,p_0 \dots p_k}| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2^k} \sum_{p_0 \dots p_k} |n_{1,p_0 \dots p_k} - n_{2,p_0 \dots p_k}|. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now $n_{1,p_0 \dots p_k}$ and $n_{2,p_0 \dots p_k}$ are Poisson variables with parameter lower than $2^{(K-k)d}N/m^d \leq 2^{-kd}N$ so we still have

$$E|n_{1,p_0 \dots p_k} - n_{2,p_0 \dots p_k}| \leq \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{N}{2^{kd}} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (8)$$

Taking average values one is led to

$$M(N) \leq m^{d-1}M(N/m^d) + 2^d\sqrt{2dN} \sum_{k=0}^K 2^{k(d/2-1)}. \quad (9)$$

Dividing this last inequality by $N^{1-1/d}$ and then replacing N by m^dN , we get

$$\frac{M(m^dN)}{(m^dN)^{1-1/d}} \leq \frac{M(N)}{N^{1-1/d}} + \frac{2^d\sqrt{2d}}{N^{1/2-1/d}} \sum_{k=0}^K 2^{-k(d/2-1)}. \quad (10)$$

If $d > 2$, the sum on the r.h.s. of the last inequality is bounded above independently of N , and is divided by a positive power of N . Elementary analysis now shows that the ratio $M(N)/N^{1-1/d}$ necessarily converges to a limit $\beta_{MBM}(d)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, let $f(t) = M(t^d)/t^{d-1}$. One verifies at once that $f(t)$ satisfies

$$f(mt) \leq f(t) + C/t^{d/2-1} \quad (11)$$

for all $t > 0$ and any integer m ; $f(t)$ is continuous, since $M(N)$ is a continuous function of N . So the expression $f(t) + C_d/t^{d/2-1}$ is bounded in $[1, 2]$ and since $[1, \infty[$ is the union of the intervals $m[1, 2]$, $m \geq 1$, it follows from (11) that $f(t)$ remains bounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$, thus $\lim^* f(t) < \infty$. Now define $\beta = \lim_* f(t)$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, chose $t_0 \gg 1$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $f(t) + C_d/t^{d/2-1} < \beta + \epsilon$ for t in the interval $I = [t_0 - \eta, t_0 + \eta]$. Since the intervals mI , $m \geq 1$ span a whole interval $[A, \infty[$ for an A sufficiently large, it follows again from (11) that $\lim^* f(t) \leq \beta + \epsilon$. Since ϵ is arbitrary one has $\lim^* f(t) = \beta$, hence $f(t) \rightarrow \beta$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, from which it follows that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M(N)/N^{1-1/d} = \beta$. Q.E.D.

We have thus shown for $d \geq 3$, that one has

$$EL_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2}) \sim \beta_{MBMP}^E(d)N^{1-1/d}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty \quad (12)$$

when N_1 and N_2 are independent Poisson variables with parameter N . The same result for the mean value EL_N , where N is a fixed integer, follows then easily. Indeed, we have the obvious bound

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_N; Y_1, \dots, Y_N) - L_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2})| \\ \leq \sqrt{d}(|N_1 - N| + |N_2 - N|), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

whence taking mean values,

$$|EL_N - EL_{MBM}(X_1, \dots, X_{N_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_2})| \leq 2\sqrt{2dN}, \quad (14)$$

and dividing by $N^{1-1/d}$ we deduce that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{EL_N}{N^{1-1/d}} \rightarrow \beta_{MBM}(d). \quad (15)$$

Theorem 1.1 is now proved.

3 Concluding remarks.

- 1) Our decimation procedure does not give back the bounds proven by Ajtai *et al.* in $d = 2$, but a weaker $O(\sqrt{N} \ln N)$ bound. It is believed that a self-averaging theorem applies also to the functional L_{MBM} in dimension 2 [11].
- 2) The estimation of the constants $\beta_{MBM}(d)$ is also an interesting problem. A remarkable result of Talagrand [14] shows that one has $\beta_{MBM}(d) = \sqrt{d/2e\pi}(1 + O(\ln d/d))$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. It is conjectured that a $1/d$ series expansion actually exists for $\beta_{MBM}(d)$.
- 3) Mézard and Parisi have obtained detailed analytic predictions for the *random link* versions of the MMP and the MBMP [8], where the distance matrix between the points X_i and Y_j is replaced by a matrix of independent and identically distributed entries. (Some of these predictions, for the random assignment problem, have been proven recently by Aldous [2].) Numerical studies [6, 7] indicate that for the MMP and the MBMP, the random link model provides one with a very good “mean-field” approximation to the Euclidean model in the large d limit. Except for simpler combinatorial problems however [5], very few rigorous results are known for comparing the euclidean and the random link models.

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank J.M. Steele for fruitful discussions and pointing to us reference [14].

References

- [1] M. AJTAI, J. KOMLOS, AND G. TUSNADY, *On optimal matchings*, Combinatorica, 4 (1984), pp. 259–264.
- [2] D. ALDOUS, *The $\zeta(2)$ limit in the random assignment problem*, Random Structures and Algorithms, 18 (2001), pp. 381–418.
- [3] X. AZUMA, *Weighted sums of certain dependent random variables*, Tohoku Math. Journal, 19 (1967), pp. 357–367.
- [4] J. BEARDWOOD, J. H. HALTON, AND J. M. HAMMERSLEY, *The Shortest Path through Many Points*, Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 55 (1959), pp. 299–327.
- [5] D. J. BERTSIMAS AND G. VAN RYZIN, *An Asymptotic Determination of the Minimum Spanning Tree and Minimum Matching Constants in Geometrical Probability*, Operation Research Letters, 9 (1990), pp. 223–231.
- [6] J. H. BOUTET DE MONVEL AND O. C. MARTIN, *Mean Field and Corrections for the Euclidean Minimum Matching Problem*, Physical Review Letters, 79-1 (1997), pp. 167–170.

- [7] J. HOUDAYER, J. BOUTET DE MONVEL, AND O. MARTIN, *Comparing Mean Field and Euclidean Matching Problems*, Eur. Phys. Jour. B, cond-mat/9803195, 6-3 (1998), pp. 383–393.
- [8] M. MÉZARD AND G. PARISI, *On the Solution of the Random Link Matching Problems*, Journal de Physique, 48 (1987), pp. 1451–1459.
- [9] C. REDMOND AND J. YUKICH, *Limit Theorems and Rates of Convergence for Euclidean Functionals*, The Annals of Applied Probability, 4-4 (1994), pp. 1057–1073.
- [10] W. T. RHEE, *A Matching Theorem and Subadditive Euclidean Functionals*, Annals of Applied Probability, 3-3 (1993), pp. 794–801.
- [11] W. D. SMITH, *Studies in Computational Geometry Motivated by Mesh Generation*, PhD Thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [12] M. STEELE, *Subadditive Euclidean Functionals and Nonlinear Growth in Geometric Probability*, The Annals of Probability, 9-3 (1981), pp. 365–376.
- [13] M. STEELE, *Probability Theory and Combinatorial Optimisation*, SIAM Philadelphia, ISBN 0-89871-380-3, 1997.
- [14] M. TALAGRAND, *Matching random samples in many dimensions*, Annals of Applied Probability, 2 (1992), pp. 846–856.